IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.1049 OF 2005

Devindersingh Dayasingh & Ors. ..Petitioners
Vs.
The Municipal Corpn. of Mumbai & Ors...Respondents

Mr.Rajiv Narula with Mr. K.R. Dhanuka for Petitioners
Dr. Virendra V. Tulzapurkar for Respondent No.1
Mr. Virag V. Tulzapurkar with Ms. Saumya Srikrishna i/b. Kayas & Co. for Respondent No.6
Mr.R.M. Sawant, A.G.P.for Respondent Nos.2 to 4 & 7

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
S.P. KUKDAY, JJ.
DATE: 26TH APRIL, 2005.

P.C.

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

. The minutes of order containing undertakings signed by the Petitioner No.2, Petitioner No.3 and Respondent No.6 and the Advocates appearing for all the Petitioners and Respondent No.6 behalf taken on record and marked as **'X'** for identification.

. In view of the minutes of order on behalf of the Petitioners learned Counsel makes further statement that Respondent No.5 has transferred rights in favour of the Respondent No.6, as such he seeks deletion of Respondent No.5. On behalf of Respondent No.6 their learned Counsel states that they are the assignees of rights from Respondent

No.5. In the light of that name of Respondent No.5 is struck off from the cause title. Respondent No.1 to 4 and 7 are formal parties.

. As only Petitioner Nos.2 and 3 have signed the minutes of the order, Advocate for all the Petitioners made a statement that he has the authority to sign the minutes on behalf of all Petitioners including the Petitioner who could not remain present in the Court today.

. Undertaking in the minutes of the order accepted.

. In view of the Minutes of order Petition stands disposed of, in terms of Minutes of order/undertaking.

. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.

(F.I.REBELLO,J.)

(S.P. KUKDAY,J.)